



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,308	11/25/2003	Yasunori Kaneda	H-1121	4719
7590 12/20/2007 MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. SUITE 370 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER SAVLA, ARPAN P	
		ART UNIT 2185	PAPER NUMBER	
		MAIL DATE 12/20/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,308	KANEDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arpan P. Savla	2185

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arpan P. Savla.

(3) Shrinath Malur (Attorney).

(2) Sanjiv Shah (SPE).

(4) Atsuehito Someya (Applicant).

Date of Interview: 12 December 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14, 33-35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Allen et al. (U.S. Patent 5,546,557); Blood et al. (U.S. Patent Application 2003/0110351).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiners and Applicant's representatives discussed the currently submitted amendments as well as possible future amendments to be submitted in a supplemental amendment. The Examiners and Applicant's representatives also discussed the subject matter of Applicant's invention with respect to the Allen et al. and Blood et al. references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

SANJIV SHAH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required